

# Warren County Board of Supervisors

## RESOLUTION NO. 254 OF 2012

**Resolution introduced by Supervisors Thomas, Taylor, Sokol, Monroe, Bentley, Wood, Kenny, Merlino and Conover**

**RESOLUTION MAKING SEQRA DETERMINATION REGARDING  
THE BEACH ROAD (CR51) RECONSTRUCTION PROJECT IN THE  
VILLAGE/TOWN OF LAKE GEORGE AND THE AUTHORIZATION  
BY SERIAL BOND RESOLUTION OF SAME DATE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF  
\$2,600,000 SERIAL BONDS OF WARREN COUNTY TO PAY THE COST THEREOF**

WHEREAS, the Warren County Board of Supervisors is proposing the adoption of a serial bond resolution for the issuance of Two Million Six Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$2,600,000) serial bonds of Warren County to pay the costs of the reconstruction of Beach Road (CR51) in the Village/Town of Lake George (hereinafter the "Action"), and

WHEREAS, the reconstruction of Beach Road (CR51) was the subject of review under the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) and the issuance of negative declaration by the Warren County Board of Supervisors on April 27, 2011, and

WHEREAS, the within Action is the adoption of a serial bond resolution to authorize the issuance of Two Million Six Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$2,600,000) serial bonds of Warren County to finance in part, the costs of the Beach Road (CR51) reconstruction project, and

WHEREAS, the Action is subject to the requirements of the SEQRA pursuant to 6 NYCRR Part 617, and

WHEREAS, the Warren County Board of Supervisors have reviewed the Action and Part I of a Short Environmental Assessment form and the proposed responses to Part II of the Short Environmental Assessment form, and

WHEREAS, the Warren County Board of Supervisors hereby determines that the Action is classified as an unlisted action pursuant to 6 NYCRR Part 617, now, therefore, be it

*RESOLUTION No. 254 OF 2012*

*PAGE 2 OF 2*

RESOLVED, that upon review of the Action and the responses set forth in Part II of the Short Environmental Assessment form, the Warren County Board of Supervisors hereby determines that the Action will not have a significant impact upon the environment, and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Warren County Board of Supervisors issues a negative declaration for this unlisted action pursuant to 6 NYCRR Part 617, and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Chairman of the Warren County Board of Supervisors be, and hereby is, authorized and directed to execute the Environmental Assessment form (a copy of which is attached hereto), indicating that the Action will not result in a large and important impact(s) and, therefore, is one which will not have a significant impact on the environment, therefore a negative declaration will be prepared, and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Warren County Board of Supervisors shall cause the negative declaration to be filed in accordance with the requirements set forth in the applicable administrative and procedural regulations of SEQRA.

State Environmental Quality Review  
**NEGATIVE DECLARATION**  
 Notice of Determination of Non-Significance

Project Number

Date: April 20, 2012

This notice is issued pursuant to Part 617 of the implementing regulations pertaining to Article 8 (State Environmental Quality Review Act) of the Environmental Conservation Law.

The Warren County Board of Supervisors as lead agency, has determined that the proposed action described below will not have a significant adverse environmental impact and a Draft Impact Statement will not be prepared.

**Name of Action:**

Beach Road (CR51) Reconstruction - The adoption of a Serial Bond resolution authorizing the issuance of \$2,600,000 Serial Bonds of Warren County to finance, in part, the costs of the project. The maximum estimated project cost is \$7,691,653.

**SEQR Status:**      Type 1        
                          Unlisted     

**Conditioned Negative Declaration:**       Yes  
     No

**Description of Action:**

Adoption of a Serial Bond resolution authorizing the issuance of \$2,600,000 Serial Bonds of Warren County to finance the costs of the project. The reconstruction project was the subject of a SEQRA review with issuance of a negative declaration in April, 2011.

**Location:**      (Include street address and the name of the municipality/county. A location map of appropriate scale is also recommended.) Section of Beach Road (CR51) - U.S. Soute 9 to State Route 9L.      Town/Village of Lake George, Warren County.

**Reasons Supporting This Determination:**

(See 617.7(a)-(c) for requirements of this determination ; see 617.7(d) for Conditioned Negative Declaration)

The reconstruction of Beach Road (CR51) was the subject of a review under SEQRA in April, 2011. A Negative Declaration for the project was issued. The within action is the adoption of a Serial Bond resolution to authorize financing for the project in the amount of \$2,600,000. It is expected that federal and state grants available for the project will offset or reduce the amount of borrowing. No significant environmental impacts related to the municipal financing of the reconstruction project were identified by the Warren County Board of Supervisors.

**If Conditioned Negative Declaration**, provide on attachment the specific mitigation measures imposed, and identify comment period (not less than 30 days from date of publication in the ENB)

**For Further Information:**

Contact Person: Martin D. Auffredou, Warren County Attorney

Address: Warren County Municipal Center, 1340 State Route 9, Lake George, NY 12845

Telephone Number: (518)761-6463

**For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a Copy of this Notice is sent to:**

Chief Executive Officer , Town / City / Village of

Other involved agencies (If any)

Applicant (If any)

Environmental Notice Bulletin, 625 Broadway, Albany NY, 12233-1750 (Type One Actions only)

## Appendix C

## State Environmental Quality Review

**SHORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM**

For UNLISTED ACTIONS Only

**PART I - PROJECT INFORMATION (To be completed by Applicant or Project Sponsor)**

1. APPLICANT/SPONSOR Warren County	2. PROJECT NAME Serial Bond Resolution - Beach Road (CR51) Reconstruction
3. PROJECT LOCATION: Municipality Town/Village of Lake George County Warren	
4. PRECISE LOCATION (Street address and road intersections, prominent landmarks, etc., or provide map) Beach Road - U.S. Route 9 to State Route 9L Town/Village of Lake George	
5. PROPOSED ACTION IS: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Expansion <input type="checkbox"/> Modification/alteration	
6. DESCRIBE PROJECT BRIEFLY: Reconstruction of Beach Road (CR51) on existing alignment	
7. AMOUNT OF LAND AFFECTED: Initially <u>5.7 +/-</u> acres Ultimately <u>5.7 +/-</u> acres	
8. WILL PROPOSED ACTION COMPLY WITH EXISTING ZONING OR OTHER EXISTING LAND USE RESTRICTIONS? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If No, describe briefly	
9. WHAT IS PRESENT LAND USE IN VICINITY OF PROJECT? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Residential <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Commercial <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Park/Forest/Open Space <input type="checkbox"/> Other Describe:	
10. DOES ACTION INVOLVE A PERMIT APPROVAL, OR FUNDING, NOW OR ULTIMATELY FROM ANY OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY (FEDERAL, STATE OR LOCAL)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit/approvals:	
11. DOES ANY ASPECT OF THE ACTION HAVE A CURRENTLY VALID PERMIT OR APPROVAL? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit/approvals:	
12. AS A RESULT OF PROPOSED ACTION WILL EXISTING PERMIT/APPROVAL REQUIRE MODIFICATION? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE Applicant/sponsor name: <u>Daniel G. Stec, Chairman, Warren County Board of Supervisors</u> Date: <u>4/20/12</u> Signature: _____	

**If the action is in the Coastal Area, and you are a state agency, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment**

**PART II - IMPACT ASSESSMENT (To be completed by Lead Agency)**

A. DOES ACTION EXCEED ANY TYPE I THRESHOLD IN 6 NYCRR, PART 617.4? If yes, coordinate the review process and use the FULL EAF.  
 Yes  No

B. WILL ACTION RECEIVE COORDINATED REVIEW AS PROVIDED FOR UNLISTED ACTIONS IN 6 NYCRR, PART 617.6? If No, a negative declaration may be superseded by another involved agency.  
 Yes  No

C. COULD ACTION RESULT IN ANY ADVERSE EFFECTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE FOLLOWING: (Answers may be handwritten, if legible)

C1. Existing air quality, surface or groundwater quality or quantity, noise levels, existing traffic pattern, solid waste production or disposal, potential for erosion, drainage or flooding problems? Explain briefly:  
 No

C2. Aesthetic, agricultural, archaeological, historic, or other natural or cultural resources; or community or neighborhood character? Explain briefly:  
 No

C3. Vegetation or fauna, fish, shellfish or wildlife species, significant habitats, or threatened or endangered species? Explain briefly:  
 No

C4. A community's existing plans or goals as officially adopted, or a change in use or intensity of use of land or other natural resources? Explain briefly:  
 No

C5. Growth, subsequent development, or related activities likely to be induced by the proposed action? Explain briefly:  
 No

C6. Long term, short term, cumulative, or other effects not identified in C1-C5? Explain briefly:  
 No

C7. Other impacts (including changes in use of either quantity or type of energy)? Explain briefly:  
 None

D. WILL THE PROJECT HAVE AN IMPACT ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL CHARACTERISTICS THAT CAUSED THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL AREA (CEA)?  
 Yes  No If Yes, explain briefly:

E. IS THERE, OR IS THERE LIKELY TO BE, CONTROVERSY RELATED TO POTENTIAL ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS?  
 Yes  No If Yes, explain briefly:

**PART III - DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE (To be completed by Agency)**

**INSTRUCTIONS:** For each adverse effect identified above, determine whether it is substantial, large, important or otherwise significant. Each effect should be assessed in connection with its (a) setting (i.e. urban or rural); (b) probability of occurring; (c) duration; (d) irreversibility; (e) geographic scope; and (f) magnitude. If necessary, add attachments or reference supporting materials. Ensure that explanations contain sufficient detail to show that all relevant adverse impacts have been identified and adequately addressed. If question D of Part II was checked yes, the determination of significance must evaluate the potential impact of the proposed action on the environmental characteristics of the CEA.

Check this box if you have identified one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts which **MAY** occur. Then proceed directly to the FULL EAF and/or prepare a positive declaration.

Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action **WILL NOT** result in any significant adverse environmental impacts **AND** provide, on attachments as necessary, the reasons supporting this determination.

Warren County Board of Supervisors <hr/> Name of Lead Agency Daniel G. Stec <hr/> Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency <hr/> Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	4/20/12 <hr/> Date Chairman <hr/> Title of Responsible Officer <hr/> Signature of Preparer (If different from responsible officer)
--	--